

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Immune Gene Expression Covaries with Gut Microbiome Composition in Stickleback

AQ: au DLauren Fuess,^{a,b} Stijn den Haan,^c Fei Ling,^d Jesse N. Weber,^e Natalie C. Steinel,^f Daniel I. Bolnick^a

^aUniversity of Connecticut, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Storrs, Connecticut, USA
 ^bTexas State University, Department of Biology, San Marcos, Texas, USA
 ^cCentral European University, Department of Environmental Sciences and Policy, Budapest, Hungary
 ^dCollege of Animal Science and Technology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, People's Republic of China
 ^eUniversity of Wisconsin–Madison, Department of Integrative Biology, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
 ^cUniversity of Massachusetts–Lowell, Department of Biological Sciences, Lowell, Massachusetts, USA

ABSTRACT Commensal microbial communities have immense effects on their vertebrate hosts, contributing to a number of physiological functions, as well as host fitness. In particular, host immunity is strongly linked to microbiota composition through poorly understood bi-directional links. Gene expression may be a potential mediator of these links between microbial communities and host function. However, few studies have investigated connections between microbiota composition and expression of host immune genes in complex systems. Here, we leverage a large study of laboratory-raised fish from the species Gasterosteus aculeatus (three-spined stickleback) to document correlations between gene expression and microbiome composition. First, we examined correlations between microbiome alpha diversity and gene expression. Our results demonstrate robust positive associations between microbial alpha diversity and expression of host immune genes. Next, we examined correlations between host gene expression and abundance of microbial taxa. We identified 15 microbial families that were highly correlated with host gene expression. These families were all tightly correlated with host expression of immune genes and processes, falling into one of three categories—those positively correlated, negatively correlated, and neutrally related to immune processes. Furthermore, we highlight several important immune processes that are commonly associated with the abundance of these taxa, including both macrophage and B cell functions. Further functional characterization of microbial taxa will help disentangle the mechanisms of the correlations described here. In sum, our study supports prevailing hypotheses of intimate links between host immunity and gut microbiome composition.

IMPORTANCE Here, we document associations between host gene expression and gut microbiome composition in a nonmammalian vertebrate species. We highlight associations between expression of immune genes and both microbiome diversity and abundance of specific microbial taxa. These findings support other findings from model systems which have suggested that gut microbiome composition and host immunity are intimately linked. Furthermore, we demonstrate that these correlations are truly systemic; the gene expression detailed here was collected from an important fish immune organ (the head kidney) that is anatomically distant from the gut. This emphasizes the systemic impact of connections between gut microbiota and host immune function. Our work is a significant advancement in the understanding of immune-microbiome links in nonmodel, natural systems.

KEYWORDS animal microbiome, gut microbiome, host-microbe interactions, immunemicrobiome interactions, immunity, microbial communities, microbiome **Citation** Fuess L, den Haan S, Ling F, Weber JN, Steinel NC, Bolnick DI. 2021. Immune gene expression covaries with gut microbiome composition in stickleback. mBio 12:e00145-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00145-21.

Invited Editor John F. Rawls, Duke University School of Medicine

Editor Margaret J. McFall-Ngai, University of Hawaii at Manoa

Copyright © 2021 Fuess et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

International license.

Address correspondence to Lauren Fuess, lfuess@txstate.edu.

Received 18 January 2021 Accepted 31 March 2021 Published

iverse communities of commensal microbiota are associated with a range of vertebrate organ systems, such as the respiratory tract (1), skin (2), and digestive tract (3). Each of these distinct communities can contribute to host physiological function and fitness (e.g., 4, 5) but can cause pathology when disrupted (e.g., 6). Conversely, host function, including the host immune system, also has effects on the composition of the microbiota, maintaining mutualists to avoid dysbiosis while also eliminating disease-causing pathogens (7, 8). Because host immune and physiological functions often entail changes in gene expression, these reciprocal interactions between host function and microbiome structure may be revealed by examining host gene expression (9, 10). Preliminary studies suggest that changes in the microbiome can affect host gene expression (9) and vice versa (11, 12). Still, much of what is known regarding cross talk between host gene expression and microbiota composition has been learned using reduced or single-taxa microbial models in a few host species (13–15). A potentially valuable next step would be to examine transcriptome-wide associations with variation in the entire gut microbial community. However, such studies are lacking because until recently, transcriptomic analyses were too expensive to allow sufficient statistical power (16).

Preliminary evidence suggests that host immunity, in particular, is closely linked to microbiota composition, likely through complex feedback networks (8, 17). Vertebrates' mutualist bacteria promote key immune tolerance and regulatory pathways in a variety of organ systems (8). These include innate immunity in skin (18, 19), response to influenza in the respiratory tract (20), and development of gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) and regulatory T cells in the intestines (5). Removal of, or changes in, these microbes compromises host immunity (21) or can lead to auto-immune disorders (22, 23). Furthermore, studies have shown the importance of commensal bacteria in regulating and educating adaptive immunity (24, 25), as well as contributing to development and homeostasis of innate immune cells (26–28). Conversely, on the part of the host, numerous immunological processes function in regulating microbiota composition, including physical barriers (i.e., host-secreted mucus layers; (29, 30), host-produced antimicrobial compounds (31), recognition molecules (i.e., pattern recognition receptors [PRRs] [32] and associated signaling [33]) and effector responses (i.e., secretion of antibodies [34]). Despite considerable preliminary knowledge regarding cross talk between host immunity and microbiota composition, the mechanisms of this feedback, particularly in regard to the roles of host gene expression, are not well described.

Advances in transcriptomics (RNAseq), have allowed for improved understanding of host function, including immunity and immune response, in diverse systems (35). These advancements can allow for the expansion of work investigating bidirectional interactions between microbiota and host immunity (here, "microbe-immune feedbacks") beyond existing laboratory model systems with simplified microbial compositions (36-41). Despite these technical advances, RNAseq has yet to be broadly applied to investigating microbe-immune feedbacks, particularly in complex contexts. A few studies have indicated correlation between microbiome composition and expression of immune genes (42, 43). One such study screened a diversity of microbial species for their effects on host gene expression (whole transcriptome using Affymetrix arrays), demonstrating complex immunomodulatory effects of symbiotic microbes (13). However, these studies mostly document the effects of simplified microbiota or even monocultures. Only one study has examined more complex interactions, demonstrating strong associations between gene expression and microbiome composition in colonic epithelial cells, though these associations were limited to the localized colonic environment (9). Indeed, most studies examining feedback between microbiome composition and host gene expression have focused on localized gene expression, particularly in the gut epithelial tissue (44, 45). Consequently, we know little about the system-wide effects of microbiota composition on expression in distant immune-relevant

Gene Expression Covaries with Microbiome Composition

mBio°

Gene	Ensembl ID	Immune function	tau value	P value
C-C motif chemokine 4	ENSGACT0000000554	Chemoattractant (NK cells, monocytes, etc.)	0.197	4.76e-07
Interferon regulatory factor 4	ENSGACT00000021099	Transcriptional activator (antiviral)	0.152	9.96e-05
Complement C3	ENSGACT00000026259	Complement cascade (innate immunity)	0.133	7.65e-04
Eosinophil peroxidase	ENSGACT00000022724	Antibacterial activity	-0.175	7.68e-06
Interleukin-11	ENSGACT0000013923	Hematopoietic stem cell proliferation	-0.152	0.00134
NLR family CARD domain-containing protein 3	ENSGACT00000001559	Negatively regulates innate immunity	-0.143	0.00272

TABLE 1 Examples of immune genes that were significantly correlated with diversity of gut-associated microbiota^a

^aA full list of significantly correlated genes can be found in Data set S2.

tissues, and vice versa. Finally, few of these studies have examined the effects of genetic and environmental variation among hosts on these relationships.

Here, we report evidence for covariation between hosts' gene expression and their gut microbiota, from a large sample of laboratory-bred three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus), a small fish native to northern temperate coastal marine and freshwater habitats. Like many vertebrates, individual stickleback harbor hundreds of microbial taxa (operational taxonomic units [OTUs]) in their intestines (46–49). The composition of this microbiota differs dramatically between cooccurring individuals within a given natural population and between populations (between neighboring lakes, adjacent lakes and streams, or marine versus freshwater [(46-48, 50-52]). For example, in one survey of a single natural population of stickleback, proteobacteria ranged from less than 5% to over 95% of the microbial community, depending on the individual host. This dramatic among-individual variation is associated with variation in diet, sex, genotype (at major histocompatibility complex [MHC] and other autosomal loci), helminth infection status, and interactions between these factors (46–49). Similar among-individual variation is observed within laboratory stocks of stickleback, whose microbiota is partly but not fully overlapping with the taxa seen in wild populations (48).

Here, we seek to test whether this among-individual variation in gut microbiota composition in the laboratory is associated with individuals' immune gene expression. Using transcriptomic data generated from head kidneys (primary immune organ), we document correlations between immune gene expression and both microbiome diversity and proportion of key microbial families. Our results are some of the first to describe links between broad host immune functioning and microbiome structure in a nonmammalian vertebrate.

RESULTS

Correlations between gene expression and microbiome diversity. Correlative analyses revealed strong associations between microbiota diversity and host gene expression, including expression of putative immune genes. Alpha diversity of the gut-associated microbiota was significantly correlated with 1,929 transcripts involved in a range of functions (Data set S1; ~7.5% of all transcripts). These correlations were robust to experimental covariates; path analysis revealed that 1,014 (52.5%) of these correlations remained significant when accounting for sex, infection, mass, etc. We will henceforth discuss all 1,929 of the identified correlated transcripts. Of this total, 834 transcripts were positively correlated with microbial diversity and 1,095 were negatively correlated with diversity. Many of the correlated transcripts were involved in different were negatively correlated with diversity.

T1

ferent arms of immunity (Table 1). Genes significantly correlated with microbiome diversity were significantly enriched for 11 biological process gene ontology (GO) terms, 10 positively (i.e., overrepresented processes which are increasing as a result of increased diversity of the microbiota based on tau values) and 1 negatively (i.e., overrepresented processes whose expression is lower in fish with high microbial diversity;

F1 Fig. 1). This included two terms involved in immunity that were positively correlated with diversity: "positive regulation of interleukin-12 production" and "common myeloid progenitor cell proliferation." Genes that significantly contributed to enrichment of these two terms included receptor-type tyrosine-protein kinase FLT3 (ENSGACT0000004059), Toll-

p < 0.005 p < 0.01

Fuess et al.

F2

ГĘ	15/141 carbohydrate catabolic process 84/991 single-organism catabolic process
	4/9 glycosylceramide catabolic process
1	7/23 glycosylceramide metabolic process
	4/15 ethanol metabolic process
	3/8 epithelial cell differentiation involved in prostate gland development
Г	5/17 4-hydroxyproline metabolic process
L	8/31 protein hydroxylation
	3/8 common myeloid progenitor cell proliferation
1	5/17 positive regulation of interleukin-12 production
	3/6 regulation of macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha production

FIG 1 Hierarchical clustering of significantly enriched biological process gene ontology terms associated with genes significantly correlated with microbial diversity. Terms in red are positively enriched, and terms in blue are negatively enriched. Font style indicates level of significance.

like receptor 9 (ENSGACT00000013443), tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 5 (ENSGACT00000014780), interferon regulatory factor 8 (ENSGACT00000021099), and peregrin (ENSGACT0000001616). In contrast, the immune-related term "regulation of macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha production" and associated genes were expressed at lower levels in fish with more diverse gut microbiota. Significant genes included in this term were pyrin (ENSGACT0000027215), high mobility group protein B1 (ENSGACT00000027215), and transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 4 (ENSGACT00000012089). In sum, microbial diversity was positively correlated with expression of genes associated with development of immune cells and regulation of interleukin-12 (IL-12) but negatively correlated with expression of genes associated with inflammatory processes.

Coexpression analyses revealed strong associations between microbiota diversity and host gene expression, and immune genes in particular. The resulting network comprised 10 modules plus a "gray" module, module 11, containing transcripts that did not fit into any existing modules. These modules ranged in size from 44 to 18,227 transcripts. The largest of these modules (module 10) likely represents groups of housekeeping genes with low variable expression. Two modules were significantly positively correlated with microbial diversity, module 2 (r = 0.11, P = 0.03) and module 7 (r = 0.12, P = 0.02; Fig. S2). Module 2 (1,344 transcripts) was significantly enriched for 76 biological process gene ontology terms involved in a wide diversity of processes, indicating its roles in basic cellular homeostasis. Some of the largest groups of these terms included those involved in translational initiation, cytosolic transport, cellular component biogenesis, and electron transport chain (Fig. S3). The biological meaning of this module is ambiguous. In contrast, the much smaller module 7 (48 transcripts) was enriched for 36 biological process gene ontology terms, 20 of which were related to immunity and defense (Fig. 2). Thus, we concluded that module 7 consists of coregulated genes predominately involved in immune function. Enriched terms included those involved in interferon production ("positive regulation of type-I interferon production," "positive regulation of interferon alpha production," interferon gamma-mediated signaling pathway," etc.) and cytokine signaling ("cytokine-mediated signaling pathway," "regulation of cytokine production," etc.), as well as other general immune GO terms ("immune response," "immune effector process," "innate immune response," etc.). Thus, fish with more diverse microbiota generally exhibited higher coexpression of these categories of immune genes. Consequently, coexpression analyses indicate strong positive association between host gene expression and a diverse network of genes involved in immunity, with emphasis on interferon and cytokine signaling.

Correlations between gene expression and relative abundance of specific taxa. Initial analysis of correlations between microbial families and host gene expression identified 507,317 significant associations out of 7,893,582 possible pairwise correlations between the relative abundance of a given family and a specific gene (\sim 6.4% of total correlations run, slightly but very significantly more than the 5% expected from type II error alone). We took a conservative approach and further examined only





correlations between approximately the top 5% (approximate) most correlated microbial families (15) and genes (1,297). Combined, these families were correlated with a total of 1,263 of the 1,297 top correlated genes (Fig. 3; Data set S2). Again, most of these filtered relationships were robust to covariates (Table 2); thus, here we will discuss all significant results from the initial analysis.

Gene ontology enrichment of genes correlated with each family revealed significant patterns of enrichment of immune processes. The 15 families fell into one of three categories based on gene ontology enrichment analyses—positively immune associated, neutrally immune associated, or negatively immune associated (Fig. 3; Data set S3). Families such as Rubrobacteraceae, Orbaceae, and Halomonadaceae showed significant negative associations with immunity. Genes correlated with Rubrobacteraceae and Halomonadaceae abundance were significantly negatively enriched for immune-associated biological process GO terms such as "lymphocyte aggregation," "response to interleukin-15", and "myeloid lymphocyte migration." Similarly, Orbaceae abundance was significantly correlated with genes negatively enriched for immune terms, including "pro-B cell differentiation," "positive regulation of interferon alpha secretion," and "hummoral immune response." In contrast, abundance of six microbial taxa, including Caulobacteraceae and Chlamydiales, was positively associated with immune processes. Genes correlated with these taxa were positively enriched for immune-associated biological process GO terms such as "positive regulation of B cell differentiation," "positive regulation of macrophage activation," "positive regulation of interleukin-12 production," and "myeloid progenitor cell differentiation." Six microbial families had mixed (i.e., neutral) associations with biological processes (Fig. 4). Based on enrichment analysis of the correlative results, abundance of microbial taxa can have complex effects on

F4

F3

T2

host gene expression, dependent on the taxon identity.



FIG 3 Heat map of correlations between families and genes of interest. Values shown are Kendall's tau for each correlation. Gray fill indicates nonsignificant correlations. Rows and columns are hierarchically clustered using default parameters.

Nine of ten WCGNA coexpression modules were correlated with one or more of the 15 identified microbial families of interest (Fig. S2). Module 7, the immune functioning module significantly correlated with diversity, was only correlated with abundance of *Spartobacteria*. Of the nine modules that were correlated with one or more family of in-

TABLE 2 Summary of statistically significant correlations between the top 5% most correlated families and genes and the number of correlations which remain significant following covariate analyses using structural equation modeling (SEM analysis)

	No. of significantly correlated genes		
Microbial taxa	Correlation analysis	SEM analysis	
Betaproteobacteria (unclassified)	639	459	
Caulobacteraceae	591	484	
Chitinophagaceae	389	182	
Chlamydiales (unclassified)	376	210	
Clostridiaceae 1	926	662	
Geodermatophilaceae	661	427	
Gp10 (unclassified)	505	217	
Halomonadaceae	393	324	
Incertae Sedis XI	480	316	
Methylobacteriaceae	523	410	
Nocardiaceae	893	658	
Orbaceae	306	174	
Peptostreptococcaceae	908	594	
Rubrobacteraceae	351	187	
Spartobacteria (unclassified)	488	432	



FIG 4 Significance and enrichment of immune-associated biological process terms associated with each of the 15 microbial families of interest. (A to C) Families are classified into the following three groups: (A) families negatively associated with immunity, (B) families neutrally associated with immunity, and (C) families positively associated with immunities. Each bar indicates a significantly enriched biological GO term; color indicates the association of the term with immunity (red indicates terms which positively contribute to immune functions, blue indicates negative terms, and gray indicates neutral); the direction of bar indicates positive or negative enrichment; the magnitude of bars indicates the negative log of the adjusted *P* value.

terest, most had broad biological functions. Exceptions to this included module 1, which was enriched primarily for biological processes involved in tetrapyrrole metabolism and inorganic anion transport. Module 1 was significantly positively correlated with *Betaproteobacteria* (r = 0.17, P = 0.0009) and significantly negatively correlated with *Chitinophagaceae* (r = 0.11, P = 0.03) and *Peptostreptococcaceae* (r = -0.12, P = 0.01). Additionally, module 9, which was enriched for numerous terms involved in wound healing, defense, and immune response, was positively correlated with both *Chitinophagaceae* (r = 0.11, P = 0.04) and *Orbaceae* (r = 0.11, P = 0.03) Coexpression analyses suggest that microbial families are related to broad networks of host gene expression in complex, family-dependent patterns.

DISCUSSION

Microbiome diversity is positively associated with host immunity. Numerous studies, mostly of laboratory mice, indicate that vertebrates' microbiome composition is both a function of their immune genotype and phenotype (11, 53–55) and, in turn, can modify host immune development and response (55–57). Despite this, the mechanisms of these relationships, as well as patterns of microbe-immune feedback in more complex and diverse systems, are not known. Here, we provide some of the first evidence of the roles of host gene expression in mediating microbe-immune feedback in a nonmammalian system. Based on existing evidence, we expect correlations between gut microbiota composition and host immunity, as measured by gene expression in immunological tissues. This should be true even when examining tissues that are anatomically separated from the organ(s) containing the microbiota, because localized interactions in the gut may alter system-wide immune traits. Consistent with this expectation, we find that alpha diversity of G. aculeatus gut microbiota was significantly correlated with expression of a large number of host genes expressed in the head kidney, many of which had functions in immunity. Coexpression analysis further confirmed strong associations between a broad diversity of immune components and

microbial diversity. Our results collectively confirm that, broadly speaking, host immunity (measured by transcriptomics) and microbiome diversity are positively associated. This finding is agreement with, and expands upon, past studies of *G. aculeatus*, which found that fish from some populations reared with conventional microbiota communities had higher neutrophil activity in their guts than germfree fish (51). However, our results are unique in highlighting the far-reaching effects of gut microbiome on host immune gene expression; gut microbiome composition was correlated with gene expression broadly, and expression of immune genes especially, in the anatomically distant head kidney (located cranially near the gills). Correlations between gut microbiota and gene expression in head kidney tissue indicate significant cross talk between immune cell development and microbiota composition, as the head kidney is primarily involved in the development of a range of immune cells (58). Consequently, our findings suggest complex effects of gut microbiome on the development of host immune cells and, consequently, host immune function.

Gene ontology analysis of significantly correlated genes emphasized the relationship between microbiome diversity and expression of specific immune genes in G. aculeatus. "Positive regulation of interleukin-12 production" was positively associated with microbiome diversity. This is in accordance with past studies demonstrating effects of pro- and prebiotic compounds on interleukin-12 production (59–61). Furthermore, "common myeloid cell progenitor differentiation" was positively associated with microbial diversity. Past studies have demonstrated strong effects of the microbiota on myeloid cell development. Commensal bacteria increase the amount and division of myeloid progenitor cells (62); increased myelopoiesis (62) and bone marrow myeloid cell abundance (27) are positively associated with microbiome complexity. Our transcriptomic results indicate similar relationships between gut microbiota and development of immune cells in a major teleost hematopoetic organ, the head kidney. Finally, "requlation of macrophage inflammatory protein $1-\alpha$ (MIP1- α)" was negatively associated with microbiome diversity. MIP1- α is an inflammatory chemokine (63). Inflammation is well known to be linked with dysbiosis of the microbiome (7); reduced microbial diversity is associated with many inflammatory conditions of the gut (7, 64). In sum, our analyses revealed significant connections between microbiome diversity and host immunity as measured by transcriptomics, though the mechanism and direction of causation of these relationships requires further study.

Microbial taxa have opposing effects on host immune gene expression. In addition to highlighting significant microbe-immune feedback associated with diversity of the gut microbiota, our study also provides substantial initial evidence of the effects of specific microbial taxa on host gene expression and immunity. Abundance of specific microbial taxa was correlated with a wide array of host genes, with functions in a diversity of biological processes. Indeed, coexpression analysis demonstrated strong associations between abundance of particular microbial families and modules involved in broad host functioning. The exact nature of these associations varied among microbial families, with certain groups of microbial families displaying opposing trends of correlations to both individual genes, and coexpression modules. Specific microbial taxa are known to effect broad host functioning (5, 65–67). Our results are among the first to highlight the complex nature of specific host-microbe interactions, the importance of host gene expression in mediating these interactions, and the effects of these relation-ships on a diversity of functions. Here, we will specifically focus on variation in association between microbial taxa and expression of genes involved in host immunity.

Gene ontology analysis of associations between families and genes of interest revealed clear patterns of associations between microbial family abundance and host immune gene expression. Microbial taxa fell into three groups—those positively correlated, negatively correlated, or neutrally associated with immune gene expression. It is known that certain groups of commensal bacteria, such as segmented filamentous bacteria (68), are positively associated with aspects of host immunity, while others, including *Bacteroides fragilis* (69), induce protective tolerogenic responses, suppressing

Abundance of six microbial taxa was significantly positively associated with expression of immune genes in our study. These families were *Caulobacteraceae*, *Chitinophagaceae*, *Chlamydiales*, *Clostridiaceae*, *Nocardiaceae*, and *Peptostreptococcaceae*. Half of these families (*Caulobacteraceae*, *Chlamydiales*, and *Nocardiaceae*) are well described for their association with disease and other pathologies (74–76). Specifically, the family *Nocardiaceae* is known to contain some opportunistic pathogens (77), including microbes that induce nocardosis in fish (78). Positive associations between these taxa and expression of host immune genes may be indicative of the pathogenic nature of these microbes, which would induce host immune responses.

The remaining three families that were positively associated with host immunity, Chitinophagaceae, Clostridiaceae, and Peptostreptococcaceae, have diverse roles in microbial communities. Members of the family Chitinophagaceae are often described as components of the commensal microbiota of aquatic species, including lampreys (79), and aquatic amphibians (80), though its function is poorly understood. Studies have highlighted beneficial functions of these microbes in degrading chitin (81), which may explain their ability to inhibit growth of the common fungal amphibian pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (80). Members of the family Clostridiaceae, specifically, segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB), have well-documented effects on mammalian immunity. SFB are capable of enhancing Th17 cell responses (68) and promoting increased IqA production in mice (82). Finally, Peptostreptococcaceae is a poorly described yet diverse microbial taxon which is often associated with the vertebrate gut microbiome. Much of what is known regarding this family is based upon extensive research regarding a single representative species, Clostridium difficile (83). However, this family is immensely diverse (84), necessitating further functional study to understand broader associations of members of this taxon with host immunity. Indeed, further functional classification and controlled mechanistic studies will prove fruitful in understanding positive associations between the taxa identified here and host immune functioning.

In contrast to those taxa identified as positively associated with host immune gene expression, three families could be classified as negatively associated with host immunity—*Halomonadaceae*, *Orbaceae*, and *Rubrobacteraceae*. All three of these microbial families are poorly described, and two (*Halomonadaceae* and *Rubrobacteraceae*) have been primarily described as environmental microbes. Members of the family *Halomonadaceae* are well described as halophiles (85). Although some preliminary studies indicate a potential pathogenic role of members of this group (86–88), the roles of this family in microbiome composition are not well understood. Both *Rubrobacteraceae* and *Orbaceae* have been documented as members of insect gut microbiomes, found in termites (89), and bees (90), respectively. *Orbaceae* in particular has been described to have negative impacts on bee colony productivity (91, 92). Our study is the first, to our knowledge, to report the presence of these microbes in the vertebrate gut microbiome. Further functional characterization of these three taxa is necessary to interpret their associations with host immunity in the *G. aculeatus* system.

Finally, it is worth noting common trends in correlations between expression of genes involved in specific immune components and microbial family abundance. Genes associated with several immune components were correlated with at least a third of the significant microbial families, as revealed by gene ontology analysis. Many of these components have also been linked to microbiome function or composition. Genes involved in myeloid progenitor cell differentiation, regulation of interleukin-12

Fuess et al.

secretion/production, interferon gamma production, pro-B cell differentiation, and positive regulation of macrophage activation were commonly correlated with microbial family abundance. We have previously discussed the importance of both IL-12 and myeloid progenitor cells in the maintenance of gut-microbiome composition. The production of interferon gamma (IFN- γ) has been both positively and negatively linked to various microbial components; IFN- γ production decreased in piglets treated with a probiotic bacterium (93) and immunomodulary compounds from other bacteria (94). In contrast, IFN- γ ⁺ CD8 T cells are induced by commensal bacteria in human guts (95).

We observed frequent correlation between microbial family abundance and expression of immune genes associated with B cell processes and macrophage activity. Microbiota are known to have profound effects on B cell processes, including diversification, production of IgA, and differentiation of regulatory B cells (24, 96). Furthermore, on the part of the host, B cell production of IgA in particular is essential for maintenance of gut microbiome composition by restricting commensal growth and maintaining a diverse composition (97). Similarly, in some teleost fish such as rainbow trout, IgT is known to play important roles in microbiome homeostasis (98). Similar bi-directional relationships are known to exist between macrophages and gut microbiota. Microbial metabolites such as butyrate can modulate and reduce macrophage activity to promote tolerance of commensal bacteria (56). Macrophages can also shape gut microbiota structure, potentially by discriminating between commensal and pathogenic microbes (99). In sum, the most striking patterns of correlation between immune gene expression and microbial family abundance add to the existing literature supporting the roles of these specific arms of immunity, and specific cell types, in microbiome maintenance.

Conclusions. Here, we document one of the first investigations of correlations between natural gut microbiome composition and host transcriptomic gene expression in a nonmammalian vertebrate. Our results detail extensive correlation between the host's transcriptome and both diversity and proportion of specific microbial families. Notably, these associations exist despite spatial separation between the microbiota and the organ where we measure expression, highlighting the systemic changes induced by gut microbiota. Both diversity and microbial family proportion are strongly correlated with expression of a diversity of host immune genes. Associations between immunity and microbial diversity likely reflect both the effects of a healthy, diverse microbiome on the host immune system and the need for a robust immune system to maintain this diversity. Trends in correlation between abundance of specific microbial families and host immune gene expression identified groups both positively and negatively associated with host immunity. Many of these trends support previous studies from other systems. However, increased functional understanding of microbial taxa is needed to interpret these trends. In sum, our results highlight the immense interconnectivity between host gene expression and gut microbiome composition, specifically in regard to immune function. These results also highlight the utility of the transcriptomic tools in enabling studies of microbe-immune feedbacks in wild populations and nonmodel animals.

Data availability. Raw data and code for all analyses described in the manuscript can be found on GitHub (https://github.com/lfuess/MicrobiomeMS).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design. Full details of data collection can be found in Ling et al. (49) and Fuess et al. (100). Briefly, we collected reproductively mature fish from two lakes on Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada (Roberts and Gosling Lakes). Eggs were removed from gravid females and fertilized using sperm from testes collected from males from the same lake (pure F1s) or from the other lake (F1 hybrids). Eggs were shipped back to the University of Texas at Austin, hatched, and reared to reproductive maturity. The resulting adults were again artificially crossed to generate F2 hybrids consisting of intercrosses (F1×F1 hybrids) or backcrosses (ROB×F1 or GOS×F1). The resulting generation was reared for 1 year and then experimentally exposed to *Schistocephalus solidus* cestodes, following standard procedures (101, 102). Then, 42 days postexposure, fish were euthanized and data were collected for a number of phenotypic metrics, including sex, mass, and infection status/load. We also dissected head kidneys for immune transcriptomic analysis. In fish, the head kidney, or pronephros, is a primary immune

Gene Expression Covaries with Microbiome Composition

organ functioning primarily as a lympho-myeloid compartment (58). As is indicated by the name, this structure is located in the cranial region of the fish, near the gills, separating it considerably from the gut. Guts were dissected using sterile protocols for microbiome composition analysis (49).

Transcriptomic analysis. RNA was extracted from one head kidney, and sequencing libraries were generated following methods described in Fuess et al. (100). We extracted RNA from this organ using the Ambion MagMAX-96 total RNA isolation kit following a modified version of the manufacturer's protocol. A DNA removal step was preformed using TURBO DNase. RNA yield was quantified using a Tecan NanoQuant Plate. TagSeq RNA sequencing libraries were constructed using a modified version of methods described in Lohman et al. (103), detailed in Fuess et al. (100). Libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 instrument at the Genomics Sequencing and Analysis Facility of the University of Texas at Austin, Texas.

Resulting sequencing reads were processed using the iRNAseq pipeline (104). Reads were aligned to version 95 of the stickleback genome on Ensembl using Bowtie 2 software (105), and any samples with less than 500,000 aligned reads were discarded (final n = 393). A matrix of normalized read counts was generated using the R package DESeq2 (106). This normalized read count matrix was used for all subsequent analyses (correlations, path analyses, and WGCNA). Information about the resulting read counts per individual, annotation, and other metrics of transcriptome information are reported in Fuess et al. (100).

Gut microbiota analyses. Full details regarding sampling and analysis of gut microbiota composition can be found in Ling et al. (49). To summarize, DNA was extracted from the entirety of collected stickleback intestines (*n* = 693 fish) using MoBio Powersoil DNA isolation kits. From these data, 16S rRNA amplicons were generated for the V4 hypervariable regions. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina Miseq platform at the Genomic Sequencing and Analysis Facility at the University of Texas at Austin, Texas. The resulting reads were processed using standard procedures in the mothur software package (v.1.39.1) (107). OTUs were identified using the UCLUST algorithm based on 97% similarity. The relative proportion of microbial taxa (calculated at the level of family) was calculated as the proportion of total OTU reads from a sample representing a given family compared to the total number of OTUs for a sample. Data were rarefied to 2,000 sequences, and Chao1 alpha diversity was calculated using the R package phyloseq (108). Information about the resulting number of microbial OTUs, counts, and read depth per individual are reported in greater detail in Ling et al. (49), who examined the microbiota's response to cestode infection and host genotype.

Correlative analyses. We tested for correlations between microbiome composition and host gene expression. All statistics were conducted in R (v.3.6.1). First, we correlated the gene expression of all expressed genes to alpha diversity using a Kendall's rank correlation. Genes with *P* values less than 0.05 were considered significant for further analyses. Next, to identify families of microbes that are highly associated with gene expression, we correlated the gene expression of all expressed genes to the relative proportion of each microbial family, again using a Kendall's rank correlation. This resulted in thousands of significant associations between families and genes, many of which may be false positives due to the exceptionally large number of tests run (considering the combinations of many transcripts against many microbes). We concluded that the most conservative approach would be to select the top 5% (approximate; ties accounted for) most significantly correlated families (*n* = 15) and genes (*n* = 1,290) and consider only relationships between these two groups for further analyses.

To assess the effects of covarying factors (i.e., successful cestode infection, sex, host genotype [cross]) and ensure that correlations were not the result of spurious covariate effects, we also conducted a path analysis using the R package sem (109). Potential covariates which may have confounded relationships detected by the correlative analyses were included in the model—sex, infection, mass (log-transformed), and cross-direction. The full model structure can be found in the supplemental material (Fig. S1).

Gene ontology analyses. To determine the biological processes most correlated with microbiome diversity and composition, we conducted gene ontology analyses. We assessed enrichment of biological process GO terms, using the R script GO-MWU (110). To identify biological processes enriched as a result of variation in microbiome diversity, we conducted gene ontology enrichment analyses using the tau values for all significantly correlated transcripts; all other genes were assigned a value of 0. We used a similar approach for assessing biological process terms enriched in relation to relative family proportion for each of our families of interest. Gene ontology analyses were conducted using GO terms associated with stickleback gene annotations (see reference 53) and performed independently for each family. Input for this analysis was a matrix comprised of tau values for all significant correlations between a given family and the top 5% of genes (all other genes assigned a value of 0).

Coexpression analyses. We used coexpression analyses to identify groups of coexpressed host genes that were significantly correlated with microbiome diversity or relative proportion of microbial families (using only the 15 most significantly correlated families identified previously). Coexpression analyses were run using the R package WGCNA (111). We constructed a signed network using bicor analyses and the following parameters: soft power = 12; minimum module size = 30; deepSplit = 2; dissimilarity threshold = 0.2. The resulting network was correlated with microbiome diversity and relative family proportion using a bicor correlation. Modules with significant correlations (P < 0.05) were analyzed for enrichment of biological processes using gene ontology enrichment (GO-MWU; default parameters for analysis of WGCNA modules).

AQ: A

Fuess et al.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. DATA SET S1, XLSX file, 0.1 MB. DATA SET S2, XLSX file, 0.3 MB. DATA SET S3, XLSX file, 0.1 MB. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.03 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.04 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding and support for this work was provided by the University of Connecticut (DIB Startup), an HHMI early career grant to D.I.B., NIH NIAID grant 1R01AI123659-01A1 to D.I.B., and an American Association of Immunologists Intersect postdoctoral fellowship to L.F.

D.I.B., N.C.S., and J.N.W. conceived of and planned the experiments. S.D.H., F.L., and J.N.W. conducted the experiments and collected and processed associated samples. F.L. analyzed microbiome data. L.F. analyzed gene expression data, conducted correlative analyses, and wrote the manuscript. All authors approved of the final manuscript.

We declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Beck JM, Young VB, Huffnagle GB. 2012. The microbiome of the lung. Transl Res 160:258–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2012.02.005.
- Grice EA, Segre JA. 2011. The skin microbiome. Nat Rev Microbiol 9:244–253. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2537.
- Backhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. 2005. Hostbacterial mutualism in the human intestine. Science 307:1915–1920. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1104816.
- Marchesi JR, Adams DH, Fava F, Hermes GD, Hirschfield GM, Hold G, Quraishi MN, Kinross J, Smidt H, Tuohy KM, Thomas LV, Zoetendal EG, Hart A. 2016. The gut microbiota and host health: a new clinical frontier. Gut 65:330–339. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309990.
- Jandhyala SM, Talukdar R, Subramanyam C, Vuyyuru H, Sasikala M, Nageshwar Reddy D. 2015. Role of the normal gut microbiota. World J Gastroenterol 21:8787–8803. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i29.8787.
- Cho I, Blaser MJ. 2012. The human microbiome: at the interface of health and disease. Nat Rev Genet 13:260–270. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3182.
- Levy M, Kolodziejczyk AA, Thaiss CA, Elinav E. 2017. Dysbiosis and the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 17:219–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nri.2017.7.
- Belkaid Y, Hand TW. 2014. Role of the microbiota in immunity and inflammation. Cell 157:121–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.011.
- Richards AL, Muehlbauer AL, Alazizi A, Burns MB, Findley A, Messina F, Gould TJ, Cascardo C, Pique-Regi R, Blekhman R, Luca F. 2019. Gut microbiota has a widespread and modifiable effect on host gene regulation. mSystems 4:e00323-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00323-18.
- Broderick NA, Buchon N, Lemaitre B. 2014. Microbiota-induced changes in drosophila melanogaster host gene expression and gut morphology. mBio 5:e01117-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01117-14.
- Blekhman R, Goodrich JK, Huang K, Sun Q, Bukowski R, Bell JT, Spector TD, Keinan A, Ley RE, Gevers D, Clark AG. 2015. Host genetic variation impacts microbiome composition across human body sites. Genome Biol 16:191. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0759-1.
- Org E, Parks BW, Joo JW, Emert B, Schwartzman W, Kang EY, Mehrabian M, Pan C, Knight R, Gunsalus R, Drake TA, Eskin E, Lusis AJ. 2015. Genetic and environmental control of host-gut microbiota interactions. Genome Res 25:1558–1569. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.194118.115.
- Geva-Zatorsky N, Sefik E, Kua L, Pasman L, Tan TG, Ortiz-Lopez A, Yanortsang TB, Yang L, Jupp R, Mathis D, Benoist C, Kasper DL. 2017. Mining the human gut microbiota for immunomodulatory organisms. Cell 168:928–943.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.022.
- Sommer F, Nookaew I, Sommer N, Fogelstrand P, Backhed F. 2015. Site-specific programming of the host epithelial transcriptome by the gut microbiota. Genome Biol 16:62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0614-4.
- Camp JG, Frank CL, Lickwar CR, Guturu H, Rube T, Wenger AM, Chen J, Bejerano G, Crawford GE, Rawls JF. 2014. Microbiota modulate

transcription in the intestinal epithelium without remodeling the accessible chromatin landscape. Genome Res 24:1504–1516. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.165845.113.

- Lohman BK, Steinel NC, Weber JN, Bolnick DI. 2017. Gene expression contributes to the recent evolution of host resistance in a model host parasite system. Front Immunol 8:1071. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu .2017.01071.
- Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. 2020. Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. Cell Res 30:492–506. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41422-020-0332-7.
- Lai Y, Cogen AL, Radek KA, Park HJ, Macleod DT, Leichtle A, Ryan AF, Di Nardo A, Gallo RL. 2010. Activation of TLR2 by a small molecule produced by Staphylococcus epidermidis increases antimicrobial defense against bacterial skin infections. J Invest Dermatol 130:2211–2221. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2010.123.
- Wanke I, Steffen H, Christ C, Krismer B, Gotz F, Peschel A, Schaller M, Schittek B. 2011. Skin commensals amplify the innate immune response to pathogens by activation of distinct signaling pathways. J Invest Dermatol 131:382–390. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2010.328.
- Ichinohe T, Pang IK, Kumamoto Y, Peaper DR, Ho JH, Murray TS, Iwasaki A. 2011. Microbiota regulates immune defense against respiratory tract influenza A virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:5354–5359. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019378108.
- Reikvam DH, Erofeev A, Sandvik A, Grcic V, Jahnsen FL, Gaustad P, McCoy KD, Macpherson AJ, Meza-Zepeda LA, Johansen FE. 2011. Depletion of murine intestinal microbiota: effects on gut mucosa and epithelial gene expression. PLoS One 6:e17996. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0017996.
- Scher JU, Abramson SB. 2011. The microbiome and rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol 7:569–578. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2011.121.
- Jangi S, Gandhi R, Cox LM, Li N, von Glehn F, Yan R, Patel B, Mazzola MA, Liu S, Glanz BL, Cook S, Tankou S, Stuart F, Melo K, Nejad P, Smith K, Topçuolu BD, Holden J, Kivisäkk P, Chitnis T, De Jager PL, Quintana FJ, Gerber GK, Bry L, Weiner HL. 2016. Alterations of the human gut microbiome in multiple sclerosis. Nat Commun 7:12015. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ncomms12015.
- Zhao Q, Elson CO. 2018. Adaptive immune education by gut microbiota antigens. Immunology 154:28–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12896.
- Honda K, Littman DR. 2016. The microbiota in adaptive immune homeostasis and disease. Nature 535:75–84. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18848.
- Khosravi A, Yáňez A, Price JG, Chow A, Merad M, Goodridge HS, Mazmanian SK. 2014. Gut microbiota promote hematopoiesis to control bacterial infection. Cell Host Microbe 15:374–381. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.chom.2014.02.006.

- granulopoiesis via MyD88/TICAM signaling. J Immunol 193:5273–5283. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400762.
 28. Deshmukh HS, Liu Y, Menkiti OR, Mei J, Dai N, O'Leary CE, Oliver PM, Kolls JK, Weiser JN, Worthen GS. 2014. The microbiota regulates neutrophil homeostasis and host resistance to Escherichia coli K1 sepsis in neo-
- natal mice. Nat Med 20:524–530. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3542. 29. Schroeder BO. 2019. Fight them or feed them: how the intestinal mucus layer manages the gut microbiota. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) 7:3–12.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/gastro/goy052. 30. Okumura R, Takeda K. 2018. Maintenance of intestinal homeostasis by mucosal barriers. Inflamm Regen 38:5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232 -018-0063-z.
- Salzman NH. 2010. Paneth cell defensins and the regulation of the microbiome: detente at mucosal surfaces. Gut Microbes 1:401–406. https://doi .org/10.4161/gmic.1.6.14076.
- Chu H, Mazmanian SK. 2013. Innate immune recognition of the microbiota promotes host-microbial symbiosis. Nat Immunol 14:668–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2635.
- Kubinak JL, Petersen C, Stephens WZ, Soto R, Bake E, O'Connell RM, Round JL. 2015. MyD88 signaling in T cells directs IgA-mediated control of the microbiota to promote health. Cell Host Microbe 17:153–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.12.009.
- 34. Nakajima A, Vogelzang A, Maruya M, Miyajima M, Murata M, Son A, Kuwahara T, Tsuruyama T, Yamada S, Matsuura M, Nakase H, Peterson DA, Fagarasan S, Suzuki K. 2018. IgA regulates the composition and metabolic function of gut microbiota by promoting symbiosis between bacteria. J Exp Med 215:2019–2034. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180427.
- Dheilly NM, Adema C, Raftos DA, Gourbal B, Grunau C, Du Pasquier L. 2014. No more non-model species: the promise of next generation sequencing for comparative immunology. Dev Comp Immunol 45:56–66. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.dci.2014.01.022.
- Chevrette MG, Bratburd JR, Currie CR, Stubbendieck RM. 2019. Experimental microbiomes: models not to scale. mSystems 4:e00175-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00175-19.
- Douglas AE. 2018. Which experimental systems should we use for human microbiome science? PLoS Biol 16:e2005245. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pbio.2005245.
- Macpherson AJ, McCoy KD. 2015. Standardised animal models of host microbial mutualism. Mucosal Immunol 8:476–486. https://doi.org/10 .1038/mi.2014.113.
- Smith K, McCoy KD, Macpherson AJ. 2007. Use of axenic animals in studying the adaptation of mammals to their commensal intestinal microbiota. Semin Immunol 19:59–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2006.10.002.
- Rosshart SP, Vassallo BG, Angeletti D, Hutchinson DS, Morgan AP, Takeda K, Hickman HD, McCulloch JA, Badger JH, Ajami NJ, Trinchieri G, Pardo-Manuel de Villena F, Yewdell JW, Rehermann B. 2017. Wild mouse gut microbiota promotes host fitness and improves disease resistance. Cell 171:1015–1028.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.016.
- Winans NJ, Walter A, Chouaia B, Chaston JM, Douglas AE, Newell PD. 2017. A genomic investigation of ecological differentiation between free-living and Drosophila-associated bacteria. Mol Ecol 26:4536–4550. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14232.
- Inoue R, Sakaue Y, Sawai C, Sawai T, Ozeki M, Romero-Pérez GA, Tsukahara T. 2016. A preliminary investigation on the relationship between gut microbiota and gene expressions in peripheral mononuclear cells of infants with autism spectrum disorders. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 80:2450–2458. https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2016.1222267.
- Donovan SM, Wang M, Monaco MH, Martin CR, Davidson LA, Ivanov I, Chapkin RS. 2014. Noninvasive molecular fingerprinting of host-microbiome interactions in neonates. FEBS Lett 588:4112–4119. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.febslet.2014.07.008.
- 44. Qin Y, Roberts JD, Grimm SA, Lih FB, Deterding LJ, Li R, Chrysovergis K, Wade PA. 2018. An obesity-associated gut microbiome reprograms the intestinal epigenome and leads to altered colonic gene expression. Genome Biol 19:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1389-1.
- Larsson E, Tremaroli V, Lee YS, Koren O, Nookaew I, Fricker A, Nielsen J, Ley RE, Bäckhed F. 2012. Analysis of gut microbial regulation of host gene expression along the length of the gut and regulation of gut microbial ecology through MyD88. Gut 61:1124–1131. https://doi.org/10 .1136/gutjnl-2011-301104.

- Bolnick DI, Snowberg LK, Caporaso JG, Lauber C, Knight R, Stutz WE. 2014. Major histocompatibility complex class IIb polymorphism influences gut microbiota composition and diversity. Mol Ecol 23:4831–4845. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12846.
- Bolnick DI, Snowberg LK, Hirsch PE, Lauber CL, Knight R, Caporaso JG, Svanbäck R. 2014. Individuals' diet diversity influences gut microbial diversity in two freshwater fish (threespine stickleback and Eurasian perch). Ecol Lett 17:979–987. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12301.
- Bolnick DI, Snowberg LK, Hirsch PE, Lauber CL, Org E, Parks B, Lusis AJ, Knight R, Caporaso JG, Svanbäck R. 2014. Individual diet has sex-dependent effects on vertebrate gut microbiota. Nat Commun 5:4500. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5500.
- Ling F, Steinel N, Weber J, Ma L, Smith C, Correa D, Zhu B, Bolnick D, Wang G. 2020. The gut microbiota response to helminth infection depends on host sex and genotype. ISME J 14:1141–1153. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41396-020-0589-3.
- Smith CC, Snowberg LK, Gregory Caporaso J, Knight R, Bolnick DI. 2015. Dietary input of microbes and host genetic variation shape among-population differences in stickleback gut microbiota. ISME J 9:2515–2526. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.64.
- Milligan-Myhre K, Small CM, Mittge EK, Agarwal M, Currey M, Cresko WA, Guillemin K. 2016. Innate immune responses to gut microbiota differ between oceanic and freshwater threespine stickleback populations. Dis Model Mech 9:187–198. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.021881.
- Rennison DJ, Rudman SM, Schluter D. 2019. Parallel changes in gut microbiome composition and function during colonization, local adaptation and ecological speciation. Proc Biol Sci 286:20191911. https://doi .org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1911.
- 53. Bonder MJ, Kurilshikov A, Tigchelaar EF, Mujagic Z, Imhann F, Vila AV, Deelen P, Vatanen T, Schirmer M, Smeekens SP, Zhernakova DV, Jankipersadsing SA, Jaeger M, Oosting M, Cenit MC, Masclee AA, Swertz MA, Li Y, Kumar V, Joosten L, Harmsen H, Weersma RK, Franke L, Hofker MH, Xavier RJ, Jonkers D, Netea MG, Wijmenga C, Fu J, Zhernakova A. 2016. The effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome. Nat Genet 48:1407–1412. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3663.
- 54. Oh J, Freeman AF, Program NCS, Park M, Sokolic R, Candotti F, Holland SM, Segre JA, Kong HH, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program. 2013. The altered landscape of the human skin microbiome in patients with primary immunodeficiencies. Genome Res 23:2103–2114. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.159467.113.
- Maynard CL, Elson CO, Hatton RD, Weaver CT. 2012. Reciprocal interactions of the intestinal microbiota and immune system. Nature 489:231–241. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11551.
- 56. Chang PV, Hao L, Offermanns S, Medzhitov R. 2014. The microbial metabolite butyrate regulates intestinal macrophage function via histone deacetylase inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:2247–2252. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1322269111.
- Zhang Z, Tang H, Chen P, Xie H, Tao Y. 2019. Demystifying the manipulation of host immunity, metabolism, and extraintestinal tumors by the gut microbiome. Signal Transduct Target Ther 4:41. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41392-019-0074-5.
- Kum C, Sekkin S. 2011. The immune system drugs in fish: immune function. Immunoassay, Drugs https://doi.org/10.5772/26869.
- Shokryazdan P, Faseleh Jahromi M, Navidshad B, Liang JB. 2017. Effects of prebiotics on immune system and cytokine expression. Med Microbiol Immunol 206:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-016-0481-y.
- Kang H-J, Im S-H. 2015. Probiotics as an immune modulator. J Nutr Sci 61:S103–S105. https://doi.org/10.3177/jnsv.61.S103.
- Llewellyn A, Foey A. 2017. Probiotic modulation of innate cell pathogen sensing and signaling events. Nutrients 9:1156. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu9101156.
- Thaiss CA, Zmora N, Levy M, Elinav E. 2016. The microbiome and innate immunity. Nature 535:65–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18847.
- Kang JG, Amar MJ, Remaley AT, Kwon J, Blackshear PJ, Wang PY, Hwang PM. 2011. Zinc finger protein tristetraprolin interacts with CCL3 mRNA and regulates tissue inflammation. J Immunol 187:2696–2701. https:// doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1101149.
- Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, Gloux K, Pelletier E, Frangeul L, Nalin R, Jarrin C, Chardon P, Marteau P, Roca J, Dore J. 2006. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. Gut 55:205–211. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2005 .073817.
- 65. Pedersen HK, Gudmundsdottir V, Nielsen HB, Hyotylainen T, Nielsen T, Jensen BA, Forslund K, Hildebrand F, Prifti E, Falony G, Le Chatelier E,

Levenez F, Dore J, Mattila I, Plichta DR, Poho P, Hellgren LI, Arumugam M, Sunagawa S, Vieira-Silva S, Jorgensen T, Holm JB, Trost K, Meta HITC, Kristiansen K, Brix S, Raes J, Wang J, Hansen T, Bork P, Brunak S, Oresic M, Ehrlich SD, Pedersen O, MetaHIT Consortium. 2016. Human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity. Nature 535:376–381. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18646.

- Hooper LV, Wong MH, Thelin A, Hansson L, Falk PG, Gordon JI. 2001. Molecular analysis of commensal host-microbial relationships in the intestine. Science 291:881–884. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5505.881.
- Rowland I, Gibson G, Heinken A, Scott K, Swann J, Thiele I, Tuohy K. 2018. Gut microbiota functions: metabolism of nutrients and other food components. Eur J Nutr 57:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-017-1445-8.
- Ivanov II, Atarashi K, Manel N, Brodie EL, Shima T, Karaoz U, Wei D, Goldfarb KC, Santee CA, Lynch SV, Tanoue T, Imaoka A, Itoh K, Takeda K, Umesaki Y, Honda K, Littman DR. 2009. Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. Cell 139:485–498. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.cell.2009.09.033.
- Mazmanian SK, Round JL, Kasper DL. 2008. A microbial symbiosis factor prevents intestinal inflammatory disease. Nature 453:620–625. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature07008.
- Reddick LE, Alto Neal M. 2014. Bacteria fighting back: how pathogens target and subvert the host innate immune system. Mol Cell 54:321–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.010.
- Hann DR, Rathjen JP. 2010. The long and winding road: virulence effector proteins of plant pathogenic bacteria. Cell Mol Life Sci 67:3425–3434. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-010-0428-1.
- Kumar H, Kawai T, Akira S. 2011. Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. Int Rev Immunol 30:16–34. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 08830185.2010.529976.
- Kumar S, Ingle H, Prasad DV, Kumar H. 2013. Recognition of bacterial infection by innate immune sensors. Crit Rev Microbiol 39:229–246. https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2012.706249.
- Rizzatti G, Lopetuso LR, Gibiino G, Binda C, Gasbarrini A. 2017. Proteobacteria: a common factor in human diseases. Biomed Res Int 2017:9351507. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9351507.
- Rusconi B, Greub G. 2011. Chlamydiales and the innate immune response: friend or foe? FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 61:231–244. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2010.00772.x.
- Mehlitz A, Rudel T. 2013. Modulation of host signaling and cellular responses by Chlamydia. Cell Commun Signal 11:90. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1478-811X-11-90.
- Goodfellow M. 2014. The family Nocardiaceae, p 595–650. *In* Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), The Prokaryotes: Actinobacteria. Springer, Berlin, Germany. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3 -642-30138-4_404.
- Tanekhy M, Matsuda S, Itano T, Kawakami H, Kono T, Sakai M. 2010. Expression of cytokine genes in head kidney and spleen cells of Japanese flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) infected with Nocardia seriolae. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 134:178–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .vetimm.2009.09.001.
- Li Y, Xie W, Li Q. 2016. Characterisation of the bacterial community structures in the intestine of Lampetra morii. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 109:979–986. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-016-0699-0.
- Walke JB, Becker MH, Loftus SC, House LL, Teotonio TL, Minbiole KP, Belden LK. 2015. Community structure and function of amphibian skin microbes: an experiment with bullfrogs exposed to a chytrid fungus. PLoS One 10:e0139848. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139848.
- Rosenberg E. 2014. The family Chitinophagaceae, p 493–495. *In* Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), The Prokaryotes: other major lineages of bacteria and the Archaea. Springer, Berlin, Germany. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38954-2_137.
- Klaasen HL, Van der Heijden PJ, Stok W, Poelma FG, Koopman JP, Van den Brink ME, Bakker MH, Eling WM, Beynen AC. 1993. Apathogenic, intestinal, segmented, filamentous bacteria stimulate the mucosal immune system of mice. Infect Immun 61:303–306. https://doi.org/10 .1128/IAI.61.1.303-306.1993.
- Navaneethan U, Venkatesh PG, Shen B. 2010. Clostridium difficile infection and inflammatory bowel disease: understanding the evolving relationship. World J Gastroenterol 16:4892–4904. https://doi.org/10.3748/ wjg.v16.i39.4892.
- Slobodkin A. 2014. The family Peptostreptococcaceae, p 291–302. In Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), The Prokaryotes: Firmicutes and Tenericutes. Springer, Berlin, Germany. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30120-9_217.

- Oren A. 2008. Microbial life at high salt concentrations: phylogenetic and metabolic diversity. Saline Syst 4:2. https://doi.org/10.1186/1746 -1448-4-2.
- Huang X, Ye Z, Cao Q, Su G, Wang Q, Deng J, Zhou C, Kijlstra A, Yang P. 2018. Gut microbiota composition and fecal metabolic phenotype in patients with acute anterior uveitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 59:1523–1531. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.17-22677.
- Vaziri ND, Wong J, Pahl M, Piceno YM, Yuan J, DeSantis TZ, Ni Z, Nguyen TH, Andersen GL. 2013. Chronic kidney disease alters intestinal microbial flora. Kidney Int 83:308–315. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2012.345.
- Asea A, Rehli M, Kabingu E, Boch JA, Bare O, Auron PE, Stevenson MA, Calderwood SK. 2002. Novel signal transduction pathway utilized by extracellular *HSP70*: role of toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR4. J Biol Chem 277:15028–15034. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M200497200.
- Albuquerque L, da Costa MS. 2014. The family Rubrobacteraceae, p 861–866. In Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), The Prokaryotes: Actinobacteria. Springer, Berlin, Germany. https://doi .org/10.1007/978-3-642-30138-4_202.
- Lefebvre T, Miambi E, Pando A, Diouf M, Rouland-Lefèvre C. 2009. Gut-specific actinobacterial community structure and diversity associated with the wood-feeding termite species, Nasutitermes corniger (Motschulsky) described by nested PCR-DGGE analysis. Insect Soc 56:269–276. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00040-009-0020-6.
- Billiet A, Meeus I, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Deforce D, Wackers F, Smagghe G. 2017. Colony contact contributes to the diversity of gut bacteria in bumblebees (Bombus terrestris). Insect Sci 24:270–277. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1744-7917.12284.
- Horton MA, Oliver R, Newton IL. 2015. No apparent correlation between honey bee forager gut microbiota and honey production. PeerJ 3:e1329. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1329.
- Hou C, Liu H, Zhang J, Zhang S, Yang F, Zeng X, Thacker PA, Zhang G, Qiao S. 2015. Intestinal microbiota succession and immunomodulatory consequences after introduction of Lactobacillus reuteri I5007 in neonatal piglets. PLoS One 10:e0119505. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0119505.
- Zvanych R, Lukenda N, Kim JJ, Li X, Petrof EO, Khan WI, Magarvey NA. 2014. Small molecule immunomodulins from cultures of the human microbiome member Lactobacillus plantarum. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 67:85–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2013.126.
- 95. Tanoue T, Morita S, Plichta DR, Skelly AN, Suda W, Sugiura Y, Narushima S, Vlamakis H, Motoo I, Sugita K, Shiota A, Takeshita K, Yasuma-Mitobe K, Riethmacher D, Kaisho T, Norman JM, Mucida D, Suematsu M, Yaguchi T, Bucci V, Inoue T, Kawakami Y, Olle B, Roberts B, Hattori M, Xavier RJ, Atarashi K, Honda K. 2019. A defined commensal consortium elicits CD8 T cells and anti-cancer immunity. Nature 565:600–605. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41586-019-0878-z.
- Rosser EC, Oleinika K, Tonon S, Doyle R, Bosma A, Carter NA, Harris KA, Jones SA, Klein N, Mauri C. 2014. Regulatory B cells are induced by gut microbiota-driven interleukin-1beta and interleukin-6 production. Nat Med 20:1334–1339. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3680.
- Palm NW, de Zoete MR, Flavell RA. 2015. Immune-microbiota interactions in health and disease. Clin Immunol 159:122–127. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.clim.2015.05.014.
- Xu Z, Takizawa F, Casadei E, Shibasaki Y, Ding Y, Sauters TJC, Yu Y, Salinas I, Sunyer JO. 2020. Specialization of mucosal immunoglobulins in pathogen control and microbiota homeostasis occurred early in vertebrate evolution. Sci Immunol 5:eaay3254. https://doi.org/10.1126/ sciimmunol.aay3254.
- Wang S, Ye Q, Zeng X, Qiao S. 2019. Functions of macrophages in the maintenance of intestinal homeostasis. J Immunol Res 2019:1512969. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1512969.
- 100. Fuess LE, Weber JN, den Haan S, Steinel NC, Shim KC, Bolnick DI. 2020. A test of the Baldwin effect: differences in both constitutive expression and inducible responses to parasites underlie variation in host response to a parasite. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.29.216531:2020 .07.29.216531.
- Weber JN, Kalbe M, Shim KC, Erin NI, Steinel NC, Ma L, Bolnick DI. 2017. Resist globally, infect locally: a transcontinental test of adaptation by stickleback and their tapeworm parasite. Am Nat 189:43–57. https://doi .org/10.1086/689597.
- 102. Weber JN, Steinel NC, Shim KC, Bolnick DI. 2017. Recent evolution of extreme cestode growth suppression by a vertebrate host. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:6575–6580. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620095114.

- Lohman BK, Weber JN, Bolnick DI. 2016. Evaluation of TagSeq, a reliable low-cost alternative for RNAseq. Mol Ecol Resour 16:1315–1321. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12529.
- Dixon GB, Davies SW, Aglyamova GA, Meyer E, Bay LK, Matz MV. 2015. Genomic determinants of coral heat tolerance across latitudes. Science 348:1460–1462. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261224.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.
- 107. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: opensource, platform-independent, community-supported software for

describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537–7541. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09.

- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One 8:e61217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217.
- Fox J. 2006. Structural equation modeling with the sem package in R. Struct Equation Model 13:465–486. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1303_7.
- 110. Wright RM, Aglyamova GV, Meyer E, Matz MV. 2015. Gene expression associated with white syndromes in a reef building coral, *Acropora hyacinthus*. BMC Genomics 16:371. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864 -015-1540-2.
- Langfelder P, Horvath S. 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 9:559. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2105-9-559.



SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL LEGENDS

[Author: Because the full supplemental material legends will appear in the HTML version of the article online, and because the copy editor may have made changes, we have reproduced the legends below. Feel free to enter your changes on this page and we will see that they are conveyed to the online article.]

FIG S1 Schematic of the structural equation model that was used to assess robustness of covariance between gene expression and microbial diversity or taxon abundance.

FIG S2 Tombstone plot displaying correlations between coexpression network modules and alpha diversity or microbial family diversity (for families of interest). Only significant values are displayed.

FIG S3 Hierarchical clustering of significantly enriched biological process gene ontology terms associated with genes in module 2 (positively associated with microbial diversity). Font style indicates level of significance.

DATA SET S1 List of transcripts which were significantly correlated with alpha diversity of the gut microbiome. Transcript ID, annotation data, *P* value, and tau values are listed.

DATA SET S2 List of transcripts which were significantly correlated with each of the top 15 most correlated microbial families. Transcript ID, annotation data, P value, and tau values are listed.

DATA SET S3 Full gene ontology enrichment results for correlations between transcripts and each of the top 15 most correlated microbial families.

9/4/21 10:36 ArtlD: DOI:10.1128/mBio.00145-21 CE: KGL-rms

AUTHOR QUERIES

Below are queries from the copy editor indicating specific areas of concern. Please respond in-line in the main text above, either by marking a change or indicating "ok."

1

AQau—Please make certain that all authors' names are spelled correctly, and confirm the givennames and surnames are identified properly by the colors (this is important for how the names are indexed).

■= Given-Name, ■= Surname

AQau—An ORCID ID was provided for at least one author during submission. Please click the name associated with the ORCID ID icon () in the byline to verify that the link is working and that it links to the correct author.

AQabbr—Please check any added introductions of abbreviations and correct them if necessary.

AQfund— The table below includes funding information that you provided on the submission form when you submitted the manuscript. This funding information will not appear in the article, but it will be provided to CrossRef and made publicly available. Please check it carefully for accuracy and mark any necessary corrections. If you would like statements acknowledging financial support to be published in the article itself, please make sure that they appear in the Acknowledgments section. Statements in Acknowledgments will have no bearing on funding data deposited with CrossRef and vice versa.

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)	Funder ID
University of Connecticut (UCONN)	Startup	Daniel I. Bolnick	https://doi.org/10.13039/100007710
Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI)	Early Career Grant	Daniel I. Bolnick	https://doi.org/10.13039/100000011
HHS National Institutes of Health (NIH)	1R01AI123659-01A1	Daniel I. Bolnick	https://doi.org/10.13039/100000002
American Association of Immunologists (AAI)	Intersect Postdoctoral Fellowship	Lauren E. Fuess	https://doi.org/10.13039/100002570

AQA—Please verify or correct "n = 15", i.e., that 15 is not a reference citation.